









## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.          | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/871,358                                  | 05/31/2001      | Dennis B. Brown      | 45824-01012                  | 3992             |  |
| 34013                                       | 7590 03/04/2004 |                      | EXAMI                        | EXAMINER         |  |
| HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN, LLP<br>299 SOUTH MAIN |                 |                      | PASCUA, JES F                |                  |  |
| SUITE 1800                                  |                 |                      | ART UNIT                     | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| SALT LAKE                                   | CITY, UT 84111  |                      | 3727 DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004 | . 17             |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   |  | d                                |
|--|---|--|----------------------------------|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |                                  |
| Advisory Action  | 09/871,358  | BROWN ET AL.   |                                  |
| Advisory Action  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |                                  |
|  | Jes F. Pascua   | 3727   |                                  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communica   | ation appears on the cover sheet w  | ith the correspondence address   | S                                |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2004 FAILS T<br>Therefore, further action by the applicant is req<br>final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be<br>condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice<br>Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR   | uired to avoid abandonment of the either: (1) a timely filed amendme of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3 | is application. A proper reply the specification is application.                           | to a<br>on in                    |
| PERIOD   | FOR REPLY [check either a) or   | b)]  |                                  |
| a) The period for reply expires 6_months from the ma   |   |  |                                  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponents on the statutory period for reply exponents of the statutory period for reply exponents. The statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date event.   | xpire later than SIX MONTHS from the mail   | ing date of the final rejection.   |                                  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the date of the control of the contr | riod of extension and the corresponding amone shortened statutory period for reply origin               | ount of the fee. The appropriate extensi-<br>ally set in the final Office action; or (2) a | ion fee under<br>as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 20 Februa<br>37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereo   |   |  | rth in                           |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be   | entered because:  |  |                                  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would req   | uire further consideration and/or   | search (see NOTE below);   |                                  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (s  | ee Note below);   |  |                                  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the ap issues for appeal; and/or  | plication in better form for appeal   | by materially reducing or simp   | plifying the                     |
| (d) they present additional claims without   | out canceling a corresponding nur   | nber of finally rejected claims.   |                                  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |   |  |                                  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the follow   | wing rejection(s):  |  |                                  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | would be allowable if submitte  | ed in a separate, timely filed ar  | mendment                         |
| 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ r application in condition for allowance be   |   | en considered but does NOT p   | place the                        |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consiraised by the Examiner in the final reject  |   | SOLELY to issues which were r  | newly                            |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed an explanation of how the new or amended   |   |  | ns b                             |

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 8,9,14-16,30 and 39-43. Claim(s) objected to: 3-7 and 10-13. Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,27-29 and 45.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17-26,31-33,37 and 44.

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Jes F. Pascua **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 3727

10. Other:

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/871,358

Application No.



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Locating the second spout on one of the first and second side surfaces, as proposed, raises new issues which would require more than nominal consideration.